G. R. S. 58
Previous references.

File No. 58 ~~220~~ 950.

Serial Nos. 1—~~9~~

1907.-03
(Police)

1-W

Later references.

27

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—10

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

Petition of Vedanta Charia late Police Dubbedar, Grama appealing against the order of dismissal passed by the Superintendent of Police, Mannar

---

Table of Contents

1 Petition from Vedanta Charia dt 27.2.03 praying for the reinstatement of his appointment.

2 Petition from Vedanta Charia dt 27.2.03 forwarding the petition for disposal

3 Petition from Vedanta Charia dt 3.4.03 Requesting early orders

4 Petition from Vedanta Charia dt 3.4.03 Forwarding the petition for disposal.

5 Petition from Vedanta Charia dt 3.4.03 Requesting that he may restored in his appointment

6 Petition from Vedanta Charia dt 3.4.03 Forwarding the petition for a report with records —

Dr Lyd
Mr Lewis Brassor

Dl No 7/[illegible] 7.. re Imitation of Name.
No 606 for 6 (dated 29th July 1903).

Submitting a detailed report with record relating to the case of Police Constable Veranta Burgess of Granville Sub Station —

Dl No 8 of 4th Aug. of Police No 2[illegible] 1...

Requesting to be so good as to state whether, if the fact of the dismissal of the petitioner for absence from duty is true, he may not be restored to same Subdivision to which he was degraded —

Dl 9 (of 1903) From the D.C. of Police No 2..79 dated

Stating that he is of opinion that the Deputy Comr. has rightly dismissed the appellant from the force as a disorderly & returning the records

Dl No 10. From the D.I. of Police No 1071 dated 27. 11.

Forwards the petition & other records received with his letter for further consideration & disposal.

Communicate

GH

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

(Full Office Note.)

---

† 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

† **Subject.** Appeal

Petition dated 20th February 1903, received from Vedanta-chariya, late Duffedar, Grand Rapids, appealing against the order of his dismissal passed by the Supdt of Police, Hassan Dt.

* 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

* **Note.**

The Petitioner states that in connection with a case of house-breaking and theft, the Police Supdt, suspended him without calling for his explanation; that he appealed against this order to the Dy Comr, Hassan Dt, who on the 4th July 1902, directed the Petitioner's reduction by one grade, that he thereupon represented that he would go to Bangalore (utilising the leave granted to him in order to enable him to file the above appeal to the Dy Comr) to file an appeal before the Inspector General of Police, and filed the appeal in question, and that in

he meanwhile the Police
Supt dismissed him from
service for on the ground
that he absented himself
without leave. He further
states that he appealed to
the Inspector Genl of Police
against this order of dismissal
and that his appeal has
not been disposed of as yet.
The Petitioner submits a copy
of the order passed by
the Inspector General of
Police on his appeal against
his suspension and reduction
by the Supt of Police and
the Dy. Comr. In his order
the Inspector General observes
that the punishment recom-
mended to be awarded is
inadequate inasmuch as
there is ample proof to show
that he hushed up a case
of house-breaking and
left at Sandé Lukasa, the
his previous conduct is
anything but satisfactory
and that as the Dy. Comr
does not consider a more
severe punishment should
be inflicted on the Duffedar

he

he would not enhance it.

As the Duffedar now states that he has been dismissed, the punishment originally inflicted has been enhanced.

The points for consideration are.

(1) whether the Petitioner may be informed that Govt decline to interfere with the decision of the Inspector General of Police,

or (2) whether the Petitions may be forwarded to the Inspector General of Police for disposal with records.

Submitted for orders

16/4/03 16/4/03

The Dfl has not apparently had an opportunity of considering the Petrs representation so far as it relates to his dismissal from service. The petition may therefore be sent to the Dfl for disposal and issue of endorsement (Big A-8). The fact may be written to the Petr.

17.4.03

Yes

Draft submitted 24/4/03

The Petitioner, Kedaitachanya, now submits an endorsement granted to him by the Inspector General of Police, in which the latter says that the order already passed upholding the punishment awarded to him cannot be modified. The Petitioner now appeals to Govt against this order. ~~on the following grounds:~~ He states as follows:—

1. That the Inspector General did not enquire into the matter and look into the records before passing orders.

2. That he has put in 15 years of approved service and recommended for promotion to 1st grade Duffedar.

3. That there was no omission or neglect of duty on ~~my~~ his part in connection with the three cases, in regard to which he was at first degraded by the Police Supt: for 3 months.

4. That there was no omission of duty on his part in connection with the housebreaking case that occurred at Sant'Sivara, ~~and~~ in which he was suspended before Police Supt, as after further enquiry it he was [illegible] Rs 200 fr[illegible] blame.

5. That the Police Supdt Mr Hamza Hussain, ~~subsequently~~ imposed by alleged some fault to against the Petitioner and ~~xxx~~ ordered his reduction by one grade.

6. That he was subsequently transferred to Hale Narsipur, from which place he applied for 3 months' leave in order to appeal to the Inspector General against the order of his reduction, but that he was not granted leave or transferred to some other place as prayed for by him.

7. That ~~he~~ as his appeal to the Inspector General was not submitted to that Office by the Police Supdt he went to Bangalore himself and submitted his appeal, and that for this he was dismissed on the ground of his having ~~for~~ absented himself without permission.

He prays that the records may be sent for and he may be restored to his appointment.

Submitted for orders

Translator

The Records may be sent for. Draft.
6
Ebrs

Draft Subd
*
23/3/03

The Inspector General
of Police in submitting
the Records ~~of cases~~ reports in the case
against the Petitioner which is to following effect:—

On 22nd December 1900,
a case of house-breaking
and theft took place in
the village of Sandesivar a
within the limits of the Nuggi-
halli Station. The Petitioner
who was the Duffedar there
was apprised of the occurrence
and conducted a local
enquiry, but omitted to
report his proceedings in
the Station House Diary.
Some time after, the complainant
presented a petition to the
Amildar, who referred it to the
Petitioner—Duffedar. The
Duffedar submitted the record
which he had previously
made and which went
to show that the Complaint
had no foundation in truth.

As the Duffedar
had

had failed to report the
proceedings in the usual Course
his subsequent report was
distrusted and he was plac
under suspension by the
then Assistant Supt of Police
Mr Mahamad Jaffer. The
Jamadar was then ordered
to investigate the complain
of Lingachary, which was
found to be true and the
records made by the Duffedar
to be untrustworthy and
false. The Duffedar whose
explanation was called for
to do so on the ground that
he was not furnished with
Copies of the remarks made
against him by the Assistant
and the Inspector. He was
therefore reduced to a Lance
Duffedari. The Inspector
General states that the
Duffedar thereupon appealed
to him, & that on a perusal
of the records, although he
found the punishment
of mere reduction in
-commensurate with
the

with the gravity of the charge against the Petitioner, still in view that both the Asstt Supdt and the Dy. Commr had both found it adequate, he upheld the same.

In a subsequent endorsement No 6398/216 dated 7th May & Flag Cp 3, ~~the~~ granted to the Petitioner the Inspector General, declined to modify the orders already passed by him, thus upholding the punishment of dismissal of the Petitioner by the Supdt of Police.

The papers relating to the dismissal of the Petitioner are not in the records submitted by the Inspector General. May these be sent for? Not necessary

Submitted for orders.

21/1/03

There seem to be no reasons for separating subsequent interference with the orders of the Commissioner for Police which has been confirmed by the D.G. An order will, if approved, be given to the petitioner who appeals.

Let the D.G. whether it

true that the man has been since dismissed for absence from duty or if so whether he should not be restored to the Lance Dafr post to which he was degraded.

9/8/13

Draft as above.
10/8/13

Draft as above.

Reminded to see 4 for instructions as I feel a doubt about the person marked x above. Is the fact of the petitioner's dismissal for a subsequent offence for his reinstation to his previous appointment?

10/8/13

Please explain in the order. The I.G. has decided only the question of suspension. He says nothing about subsequent dismissal. It may therefore be presumed either that he does not approve of it or that his attention has not been drawn to it.

If the Petr Caine & Bangor
bore as represented by him, &
the punishment of dismissal
seems & be too severe.
Let me see draft please.

10.8.03 10/8/03

Draft & bbl
bk
11/8/03
Draft issued ---

In reply, the Inspector Gl
submits copy of a docket from
the Dy. Comr of Mass in which
the latter states that the
petitioner had applied for
3 months' leave in order to
enable him to prefer an appeal
to the Inspector General and
to Government, that he was
distinctly informed thrice
that no leave could be granted
and that as he disobeyed
orders and failed to join
his duty for over 21 days

after the service of the last notice on him, he was treated as a deserter and struck off the force. The Inspector General states that under these circumstances, he is of opinion that the Deputy Commissioner has rightly dismissed the appellant from the force as a deserter.

Submitted for orders with a draft rejecting the appeal.

5/11/03

5-11-03

The Dewan

7/11/03

16

c89
17.11.03

Why was the leave refused? Member mentioned his ground - I want a detailed precis of the entire case from the time he first applied for leave till he was dismissed. Member is said to have been under suspension - did he remain under suspension? Original papers - join after that? Original papers must be referred to

18.XI.03

Home Secretary
I shall have a talk with the I.G. of Police

Heard & Order

Send this list again & ask

for further Comments &

disposal

24/11/3

J-2254

1902-03

308 of 902 - 2207 of 1902-3 2208

8/5/03

No. 1

7-3-03

Name of Petitioner Vedantacha Late Daffedar

Village Grama

Taluk Hassan

District Hassan

Date of Arzi 20-2-03

Date of Receipt 2-3-03

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Two and also previous petn.

ABSTRACT

With reference to Govt. No. 308, of 30-8-0 in his petn. complaining against the summary Order of his dismissal from the Police Dept. the petr. submits that in order to put in his petn. through his official superior, he is no longer kept in service, and that his several petns. sub

The I. G. of Police
may not be taken notice
2. Hence he directly
approaches the Govt.
by this petn. praying
at all the original
records connected
with the case may be
sent and he may
be reinstated in his
employment from
which he has been
unjustly and illegally
removed off.

He encloses the
D's memorandum
affirming the punish-
ment inflicted upon
petr. by the Dy Comm.

26/3/03

SECTION Police

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

FILE NO. 2208 of 1902-3 JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

S R M No. 2 No. 1687 Ex. L. / Rams

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 2208 dated 28th Feby. 1903 received at the Dewan's Office from Vedantachary late Police Duffedar of Gramas, appealing against the order ~~of his dismissal from service~~ passed by the Supdt. of Police Kassan Dt. dismissing him is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures,~~ to the Inspector General of Police for early disposal and issue of endorsement to the Petitioner.

By order,

27/4/03

Secretary.

BANGALORE,

The 27/4/1903

ನಂ. 1688 Secy. to Govt. Police

ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾನ್ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

FILE N. 2207 902-3.

ಅರ್ಜೀದಾರ

ಮತ್ತು

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳು ನೀವು ಮಾಪ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

27/4/03

By Order,

Secretary.

2607 of 02 ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಪತ್ರವ್ಯವಹಾರ
ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾದ ದಿನಾಂಕ 21-5-03
ಮುಕ್ತಾಯವಾದ ದಿನಾಂಕ

11-6-03

5 Cl: 13485 J262
17-6-03.

1902-03.

SECTION Police 2854.

FILE No. 2200/02-3 Name of Petitioner Vedanta Char
late Police Patelar Ri
Village Huggikalli Station
Granja

Transferred to the Judicial N. 4
Branch in continuation

Taluk Hassan

District Hassan

Date of Arzi 22-5-03.

Date of Receipt 2-6-03

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Two.

VI
15/6/03

ABSTRACT

With reference to G
endt. no. 1688 of 27-4-
on his appeal of 20-2-
against the order of
Inspector General of Pol
No. 2377 of 29-11-02, the
petitioner states that
Inspector Gen C. of Pol
in his endt. no. 6338
of 6-5-03 (enclosed herein)
declined to modify
the order appealed

gainst, without b
king into the records
the matter.

The petitioner further
ates that his service
15 years was approved
d he was recommended,
the previous Dy. Comm.
be promoted to the 1st
ade Dufedari; but
present Dy.Comm. did
give him promotion
d unjustly punished
m with dismissal
m service.

He further submit
facts of the case as
llows:—

He was degraded
Police Supts no. 774
0-3-00) in connection
the three cases in
ich he was not wante
discharging his duties;
was again unjustly

suspended (No. 4688
97-3-01) in connect
with a house-breaking
case in the village of
Santé-Sivaren. The
Dy. Comm. whom the
petr. appealed, did
not hear him and
has equitable or de
thereupon the matte
was placed before the
Inspector genl. of Pol
(Mr. Ramaswami Iyanga
who ordered an enq
enquiry into the
matter, in which
enquiry, the petr. wa
found to be not guilt

Subsequently the pe
was transferred to
Kolekaraipur. He
applied for three mon
leave which had bee
formerly ~~granted~~ sanctioned to hi
or for a transfer

to Bonne this place.
but he was neither
transferred nor was he
allowed to make use
of the leave which had
been formerly ~~granted~~
sanctioned to him.
He afterwards went
to Bangalore and Kolar
& saw the V. G. of
this and represent
his grievances before
him. Then he was
dismissed for the
fault of being absent
without permission.

~~He~~ Under these
circumstances, the pet
says that his case
may be well enquired
into and that he may
be restored to his former
appointment.

Hyd.
10/6/03

H No 6 9

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

FILE No. 2207/1902-3

SERIAL No. 5 No. 2071 Ede. 3, J Jan

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 2854 / 2627 dated 22nd May 1903 received at the Dewan's Office from Vedantacharya late Police Duffedar of Nuggihalli Station, Channarayapatna Taluq, Hassan District, appealing against the order of the Inspector General of Police confirming the Police Superintendent's dismissal of the Petitioner from Service is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, for a report with records.

By order,

Secretary.

BANGALORE,

The 25/6/1903

40

J. 15

MYSORE GOVT 1903-04

30 JUL 1903

GENERAL & REVENUE

SECTION Police

FILE No. 220 P 1902-3

S P No. 6

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt:
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

Dated 27th
Despatched 29 } July 1903.
General No. 680/46
No. Police, asking
Revenue-

Submitting a detailed
report with records
relating to the case of
Cole-Police outpost
Vedanti-Charges of
Aggressive station,
Ganna Subramanya.

Office of the

Inspector General of Police in Mysore

No. 680

Dated Bangalore 27th July 1903

46 2 files
& rec'd Sheriff.

From

C. Madiah Esquire, Rao Bahadur,

Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

To,

H. V. Nanjundiah Esquire M.A., B.L.

Secretary to the Government of H. H.

the Maharajah of Mysore.

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No. 2071 J.M. dated 25th June 1903 forwarding petition of Nos. 2854 J.M. dated 26th 27th May 1903 from Vedanta Charyer, late Police Duffedar of Nuggihally Station, Grama Sub Taluq, Hassan District, for a report with records, I have the honor to state as follows:——

On 22nd December 1900, a case of

the village of Santeswara, within the limits of Nuggehalli Station in the Sub Taluq of Gaun. The petitioner who was the Duffedar there was apprised of the occurrence and conducted a local enquiry but omitted to report his proceedings in the Station House Diary. Sometime after, the complainant, Linga Chary, presented a petition to the Amildar who referred it to the petitioner - Daffedar for needful action. Thereupon the Daffedar submitted the records which he had previously made and which went to show that the complaint had no foundation in truth.

In view of the fact that the petitioner Daffedar had failed to report his proceeding in the usual course, his subsequent report was distrusted and he was placed under suspension by the then Assistant Superintendent Mr: Mahomed Jaffer. The Jameda

was

was then ordered to investigate the complaint of Lingachary. In the result it was found that the complaint was true and the records made by the Duffedar were untrustworthy and false. The Duffedar was then required to submit his explanation but failed to do so on the ground that he was not furnished with copies of the remarks made against him by the Amildar and the Inspector. He was therefore reduced to a Lance Duffedari which, on appeal, was confirmed by the Deputy Commissioner in his order No. $\frac{521}{3}$ dated 24th May 1902.

The Duffedar then appealed to me in his petition dated 8th July 1902 against the Deputy Commissioner's order. On a perusal of the records, although I found the punishment of mere reduction incommensurate with the gravity of the charge against the petitioner, still in view that both the Assistant Superintendent and the Deputy Commissioner had found it adequate,

I upheld the same in my Order No. 2377/114 dated 29th November 1902.

The petitioner has now appealed to Governmen against the above order.

The records relating to the case together with the Superintendents' memo are forwarde

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Inspector General of Police.

Contd

Office Draft. (Docket.)

Mysore Government;
Secretariat, General & Revenue

SECTION Police

Branch: To

FILE No. 220 of 1902 - Inspector General of Police in Mysore

SER'AL No. 7

Date of passing the draft 15/8/03
Date of despatch

Regd. No.
File No. & date (if any) 401
J

No. of Encls. 2 files of records

Correspondence ending with the I.G.P.'s letter No 680/46 dated 27th July 1903, submitting a report on the case of late Police Officer Vedantacharya of Nuggihalli Station

~~In returning the records connected with the above~~, His Highness the Maharaja observes that in his order the Inspector General has decided only the question of suspension and reduction of the Petitioner and

says nothing about the petitioner's
subsequent dismissal.
It is therefore presumed
either that he does not
approve of it or that
his attention has not
been drawn to it.
If the Petitioner came
to Bangalore, to represent his case
as alleged
by him, the punishment
of dismissal seems
to be too severe.
The Inspector General
is, therefore requested to be
so good as to state
whether, if the fact
of the dismissal of
the Petitioner for
absence from duty
is true, he may
not be restored to
the Lancers Gaffedar
to which he was
degraded.
2. The enclosure returned with this
letter is returned herewith.
By order

11-0-93

12/8/93

Secretary

11/8/93

Office of the

Inspector General of Police in Mysore

No. 2519

145

one & 2 files.

SECTION Police

Dated Bangalore 31st October 1903.

FILE NO. 220/9 1902 23-11-cy03

SERIAL No. 8.

From,

C. Madiah Esquire Rao Bahadur,
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To,

A. V. Nanjundayya Esquire Rao Bah.
Secretary to the Government of H. H.
the Maharajah of Mysore.
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 401 of the 15th August 1903 returning the records connected with the case of late Police Daffedar Vedantachar of the Nuggehally Station and calling for my opinion as to whether, if the fact of the dismissal of the petitioner for absence from duty is true, he may not be restored to Lance Daffedari to which he was degraded, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of docket No. 250 of the 20th October 1903 from the Deputy

Commissioner

Commissioner of the Hassan District, together with its enclosures in original, (to be returned) stating that the petitioner had applied for 3 months' leave in order to prefer an appeal to the Inspector General and to Government, that he was distinctly informed thrice that no leave could be granted and that as he disobeyed orders and failed to join his duty for over 21 days after the service of the last notice on him he was treated as a deserter and struck off the Force.

2. Under these circumstances I am of opinion that the Deputy Commissioner has rightly dismissed the appellant from the force as a deserter.

3. The records received with your docket under reference are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Capt. Inspector General of Police

From Enclosure 8 & 8

L. Devanjiow Esquire
Deputy Commissioner of
To the Nilgiris Circle

C. Madiah Esqr Rao Saheb
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore

Dated 20th
Despatched 2nd } October 1903.
Received

General No. 250 of 1903-04
No.

Enclosure No. one file

His docket No. 1132/19
dated 21st August 1903.
requesting to be informed
of the truth or otherwise
of the allegations made
by late Police Sheristadar
Vedantachar of Nuggihally
in his appeal petition
to Government that
he was dismissed the
force by the Superintendent

of Police for unauthorised absence not withstanding his representations that he went to Bangalore to appeal to the Inspector General of police against the order of his reduction to a Lance Duffadari

I have the honor to inform him, that from the records, it is seen that the late Duffadar who was under suspension for beating up a case of Manual breathing and theft was reinstated, but reduced to Lance Duffadari on 24.5.1912 as, on a personal enquiry, by the Superintendent of Police, he was found guilty of the above charge The Duffadar was at the same time transferred from the Nuggehally Station of the Channarayapatna Taluk where he misbehaved himself in the above manner, to the Kabbur Station of the Holenarsipur Taluk.

A notice was sent by the Inspector of the Holenarsipur Taluk and served on the Ex Duffadar through the Gramna Station of the Hassan Taluk on 25.6.1912 directing him to join his post at Kabbur, a the Inspector after waiting for a reasonable per

reported on 27. 6. 1902 through his General Diary of that date, that the Duffadar did not report himself for duty in his Taluk. The Inspector was there upon directed to issue him a notice ordering him to do so. The one served on the Duffadar on 28. 6. 1902 was subsequently received back by the Inspector through the Havaan Taluk and submitted through the Superintendent of Police. The same contained certain remarks made by the Duffadar the gist of which is, that he could gather nothing from the notice as to how his (Duffadars) appeal to the Deputy Commissioner against his reduction (for 3 months) on a former occasion, and subsequent suspension was disposed of; that he must be favored with a detailed order in the matter and in case the order was unfavorable

to

to him, he was prepared to prefer an appeal to Government and would make a report about availing himself of 3 months' Substituted leave once sanctioned to him.

A week later, on 2.4.1902, the Exe. Sufficient sent a petition to the Superintendent of Police stating that he had received an endorsement from the Inspector General about the disposal of his appeal by the Deputy Commissioner on 24.5.1902; that he informed the Superintendent of Police of the same in person on 24.6.1902 that his petition must be treated as an application for 3 months leave from the date of receipt by him of the Copy of the detailed order of the Deputy Commissioner in the matter of his appeal, and if no leave could be granted to him, he would

report himself for duty on condition of his being posted to the District Head Quarter Office till the disposal of the appeal which he intended to prefer against the Deputy Commissioners order. On receipt of this petition, the Ex-Duffadar was given an endorsement to the effect that the order of degradation issued to him by the Superintendent was thereby stayed by the Deputy Commissioner, that no leave could be granted to him and in case of his failing to join his post within a weeks time, he would be dealt with as a deserter. This endorsement was personally delivered to the Duffadar in the District Police Office on 7.7.1902.

Subsequently, on 18.7.1902, the Superintendent of Police, received from the

the Malcompur Sub Insp.
the notice served on the Duffadar
as stated above on 25.6.02 and in
addition to the clear endorsement
dated 4.7.1902 directing the Duffadar
to join duty within a week, the
Superintendent gave him another
chance by serving a fresh notice
served on him on 5.8.1902, on
which again the Duffadar wrote
some remarks stating that he was
to be considered as on leave from
4.7.1902, and he was engaged
in prosecuting his appeal before
the Inspector General and the
Government.
On receipt back of this notice
with the same provident remarks
the Superintendent of Police, ordered
the Duffadar to be struck off the
force as a deserter on 26.8.1902.

From the above, it will be seen that

the late Duffadar 2 Vedanta Chetty had applied for 3 months' leave in order to prefer an appeal to the Inspector General and to Government, that he was distinctly informed thereon, that no leave could be granted and that as he disobeyed orders and failed to join his duty for over 21 days after the service of last notice on him, he was treated as a deserter and struck off the force. The General Diary of the Inspector of the Mahamaraipur Taluk for 24th June 1902 with its enclosures, and the Ex Duffadars petition dated 2 4. 1902 with the endorsement order of the Superintendent of Police thereon, are herewith forwarded for information. The records returned by the Inspector General with the docket under reply, are also resubmitted.

Sd/- D. Devaraj Urs
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

"Copy"

To
The
Inspector General of Police

SECTION— Police

FILE No.— 2 Conf 1902

SERIAL N

Secret

G. The Inspector General of Police in Mysore

Dated 27/11/03.

No. 1071 (Ho & Record).

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge receipt of your letter No. 2519/145 dated 31st October 1903, submitting your opinion in connection with the appeal of Vedantachariyar, late Police Duffedar, Gubbi against the order of his dismissal passed by the Superintendent of Police, Hassan Dt.

Adverting to the above, I have the honour to observe that it is not clear whether

ished to hear from appellant the reply, or I feel a doubt as to the terms of the reference. If the Dewan has had an interview with the Inspector General of Police, may not the reference be made demi-officially.

I see also a cover pasted, but not addressed, which may possibly be a D.O. to the Secretary.

25.11.3

You may see the Cover & Close it again. As the opinion is likely to be received back officially, is it not better to send letter officially also?

26/11/3

why the leave asked
by the ~~of~~ Petitioner
was refused by the
Supdt of Police, Hassan
though he mentioned his
ground, ~~&~~ to enable him
to prefer an appeal
to the Inspector General
and to Govt. ~~and~~
~~request~~ ~~address~~ The
Petition and other records
~~records~~ received with
the letter above referred
to, ~~are therefore~~
~~returned to the Inspector~~
~~General of Police~~ for
further consideration
and disposal.

Hes the honor to forward

Reporder

14/12/03

~~H~~ Secretary

25/11/03